IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant

William Taylor

Application No.

10/045,250

Filed

11/07/2001

Title

METHOD OF PLAYING AND PAYING GAMBLING GAMES

Technology Unit

3711

Examiner

Layno, Benjamin

Attorney Docket No.:

499oa-Taylor

Mr. Benjamin Layno

United States Patent and Trademark Office

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT

Dear Mr. Layno;

The applicant sincerely thanks the Examiner for his efforts in this matter, and especially thanks the Examiner for the Examiner's consideration of the arguments earlier provided.

Please amend the above referenced patent application as follows.

AMENDMENTS IN THE CLAIMS

Amendments to the claims are included in a separate paper.

PAGE 01

JUN 0 9 2008

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OA/B 0651-0931
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark United to Devocate and required to proposed to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Filing Date TRANSMITTAL First Named Inventor FORM Art Unit Examiner Name (to be used for all correspondence effor initial filing) Attorney Docket Number Total Number of Pages in This Submission (Check all that apply) ENCLOSURES After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board Drawing(s) Fee Trausmittal Form of Appeals and Interferences Licensing-related Papers Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Fee Attached Petition. Proprietary Information Amendment/Reply: Petition to Convert to 8 Provisional Application er of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify Change of Correspondence Address Affidevits/declaration(a) below): Terminal Discisimer **Extension of Time Request** Request for Refund Express Ahandonment Request CD. Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Remarks Certifled Copy of Priority Document(s) Roply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Signature Printed name Reg. No. Date CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facetimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Petente, P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, VA 22213.1450 on the total between between the date shown below. Signature Date This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to proved) an application. Confidentially is governed by 36 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.51 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including proved on application. Confidentially is governed by 36 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.51 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to 2 hours on the gatheting, preparing, and submitting the compressed application form to the ISPTO. Time will vary depending upon the information Officer, U.S. Patent and submitting the completes this form entire rangeations for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Petert and amount of time you require to complete this form entire rangeations for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Petert and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

ADDRESS, SENO TO: Commissioner for Patentia, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-+TO-9199 and polect option 2.

3032789977

It is believed that no new matter is presented in the amended claims. In particular, references to the conventional rules of Blackjack and 21 type games may be found on page 1 of the Disclosure as originally filed, reference to a paytable based upon combinations of symbols may be found at page 5, lines 91-92, reference to the paytable for the Blackjack game, including at least three different payback multiples, the fact that the payback is based upon the player's total point value, and arrangements of which outcomes pay greater multiples, all may be found in Figs 2, 3 and 4. Terms such as "player point total" may be found on page 1 and on page 2, line 24 of the Disclosure, as may references to the dealer, the dealer's hand, a standard 52-card deck of playing cards (page 1, line 13),

10

15

20

5

RESPONSE

The Examiner has located prior art dealing with video Poker and 21 games having "pay tables" and "bonus games". However, the present invention teaches a game in which the valuation of the player's cards in a 21 game determine the player's pay out, which feature is not shown in the prior art cited to date. In addition, variations on the game mechanics of the present invention are also not shown by the prior art to date.

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC Section 102 or 103, Paragraphs 1 through 4 of the Office Action dated Jan. 31st, 2006.

The Examiner presently rejects claims 16 and 19 through 34 over UK Patent No. GB

2106685 B to Kennedy alone or in the alternative, in combination with the game "Pontoon" described in Scarne's Encyclopedia of Games.

The '685 reference to Kennedy teaches a video slot machine which allows reels to match in various winning and losing combinations. In one particular combination, the game allows the player to play a secondary video game (commonly called a "bonus" game in the industry), apparently including the game "Pontoon". As described by Scarne's Encyclopedia, Pontoon is a 21 or Blackjack variant in which the player's payout depends upon the number of cards the player holds.

However, the claims as presently amended teach a game in which the payout to the player does not depend upon the number of cards the player holds, nor upon the total pips (points) of the cards of the dealer. The present invention teaches a bonus 21 game in which the payout depends upon the point total of the player's cards. Thus, Pontoon does not disclose this aspect of the present invention, either alone or in combination with the '685 reference.

For these reasons, the applicant respectfully urges that claims 16 and 19 through 34 and claims dependent thereon are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC Section 103, Paragraph 5 of the Office Action of January 31st, 2006

20

5

10

15

The Examiner presently rejects claims 17 and 18 as lacking "non-obviousness" over a combination of the '685 reference in view of Webb, US Patent No. 5685774. However, the '774

reference teaches a three-card draw poker game on a slot machine rather than a 21 game in which the player's pay out is determined by the valuation (points or pips) of the player's cards. Since the '685 reference and the Scarne's reference also fail to disclose a 21 game pay out based upon value of the cards, the applicant respectfully urges that claims 17 and 18 are in condition for immediate allowance and such action is respectfully urged.

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC Section 103, Paragraph 6 of the Office Action of January 31st.

10

5

The Examiner presently rejects claim 35 as lacking "non-obviousness" over a combination of the '685 reference in view of Miller, US Patent No. 6450500. The applicant has presently cancelled claim 35, however, a discussion of this issue is NOT moot, as the difference between the '500 reference in combination with other references and the present invention's claims as presently presented should be clarified.

15

20

This '500 reference teaches a 21 game in which the player's payout depends upon the dealer's card value rather than a 21 game in which the player's pay out is determined by the valuation (points or pips) of the player's cards. To contrast these two different approaches the Examiner is respectfully urged to very carefully consider the table found at columns 3 and 5 (the same table) of the '500 reference. The column down one side states, "If the player beats", which is a phrase deceptively similar to the words "If the player holds". However, the top of the next column uses the words "Dealer Total" and thus it may be seen that in the '500 reference, it is the dealer's card totals which matter, not the dealer's cards.

5

10

15

20

Turning to the present invention, the Disclosure originally filed, at page 16, lines 227 through 232 states that the game may pay an inordinate return for beating the dealer with a player point score of 16, and references Fig. 4. Turning to Figs. 2 through 4, we see the words "HAND" "Beat the Dealer with a point score of 16". In other words, the player must hold the point scores shown (4 through 16, 16, 17, etc) and beat the dealer, it is not a reference to "beat the dealer's hand of 16".

The Examiner is very respectfully urged to review the previous paragraph as the difference in terms of the game mechanics is significant, but the description in words may be easily confused.

Since the '685 reference, and the '500 reference, and the Scarne's reference as well, all fail to disclose a 21 game pay out based upon value of the <u>player's</u> cards, the applicant respectfully urges that all outstanding claims are in condition for immediate allowance and such action is respectfully urged.

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC Section 112, Paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of the Office Action of January 31st, 2006

The examiner presently rejects claims 20-23, 26-29 and 33-35 for omitting steps in between "activating a game" and "receiving payments based upon point scores". The claims presented have been substantially re-written to indicate that cards have point values, the presence of a dealer and so on. The terms "lower point score" and "higher point score" have been substantially clarified.

For all the foregoing reasons, applicant respectfully urges that the application is now in

condition for immediate allowance, and such action is requested. The Examiner is respectfully urged to contact applicant's counsel, Craig W. Barber, PO Box 16220, Golden, Colorado, 80402-6004, 303-278-9973, fax 303-278-9977, with any questions or comments.

5

Signed: Caran Para

Craig W. Barber USPTO No. 39,102 303-278-9973 PO Box 16220

10

Golden, Colorado 80402-6004